

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/546,502	04/11/2000	Michael L. Denby	4045-A2	4775
7:	590 06/17/2002			
Michael W Gotry			EXAMINER	
Parsons & Goltry 340 East Palm Lane			ROWAN, KUI	KURT C
Suite 260 Phoenix, AZ 85004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>2 302,</b>			3643	
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Application No. O9/546,502 DENBY Coffice Action Summary Examiner KURT ROWAN Applicant(s) DENBY Art Unit SURT ROWAN Applicant(s) DENBY

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
	for Reply			
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
mailing - If the property of t	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 29, 2	2002		
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This ac	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-3 and 37-41	is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-3 and 37-41	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.		
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No		
	application from the International Bure			
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
	The translation of the foreign language provision			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	, priority under 30 0.3.6. 33 120 dilu/or 121.		
Attachm	nent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
. D	Control Office Control Office (OTO 1440) Proper Notes	el Cohen		

Application/Control Number: 09/562,502

Art Unit: 3643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on April 29, 2002 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/546,502 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drosdak '652 in view of McMahon.

The patents to Drosdak and McMahon show fishing line and lure connectors. Drosdak shows a line connector having a body 7 having a receptacle or socket 9 having inwardly directed extensions 10. Drosdak shows the body is capable of receiving the end segment of fishing line 6. Drosdak shows a coupler 8. The patent to McMahon shows a connector having a body a and a coupler b attached to the body that is capable of engaging and supporting a fishing lure B, C. The

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/562,502

Art Unit: 3643

coupler is comprised of a pair of resilient hooks disposed in an overlapping state forming a continuous loop in which the lure part is engagable to the coupler by forcing the hooks apart. In reference to claims 1-3, 37, it would have been obvious to provide Drosdak with a coupler as shown by McMahon since merely one equivalent mechanical coupler is being substituted for another. Drosdak shows the extensions positioned at spaced intervals along substantially the entire length of the receptacle and also shows the extensions being directed away from an open end of the body.

4. Claims 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drosdak '642 in view of Drosdak '767.

The patents to Drosdak show fishing line and lure couplers. Drosdak '642 has been discussed above. Drosdak '767 shows a coupler attached to a body 10-12, the coupler comprises a pair of opposing plates 14, 14' which are biased together and prongs 18, 20 carried by and between the plates capable of securing a hook eye of a lure. In reference to claims 38, it would have been obvious to provide Drosdak '642 with a coupler as shown by Drosdak '767 since merely one mechanically equivalent coupler is being substituted for another and the function is the same. In reference to claims 39-40, see the rejection of claims 2-3, above. In reference to claim 41, Drosdak '642 as modified by Drosdak '76 7 shows the pair of plates extending away from an open end of the body.

Application/Control Number: 09/562,502

Art Unit: 3643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KURT ROWAN whose telephone number is (703) 308-2321.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195 or (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

**KURT ROWAN** 

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3643

June 13, 2002